**FACULTY OF ECONOMICS, LOMONOSOV MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY**

**DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY AND METHODOLOGY OF ECONOMICS**

**Regulation**

**of the Competition of student research papers:**

 **«Philosophy and Economy in the Digital World»**

**Part I. General regulations**

This competition (hereinafter – the “Competition”) is held in order to identify and encourage intellectually and creatively gifted students of Lomonosov Moscow State University and is dedicated to the topic "Philosophy and Economy in the Digital World".

* Competition of research papers is an event organized by the Department of Philosophy and Methodology of Economics, Faculty of Economics, Lomonosov Moscow State University.
* Research papers in Russian and English are allowed to participate in the Competition if they meet the requirements set forth in this Regulation. Undergraduate and graduate students of the Faculty of Economics of Lomonosov Moscow State University can participate in the Competition.
* To participate in the Competition, students send their research papers to the email address of the Department of Philosophy and Methodology of Economics, Faculty of Economics, Lomonosov Moscow State University (elilovaiskaya@yandex.ru).
* One student can submit only one research paper. In order to preserve anonymity, the authors of the research papers indicate their names (and any other data allowing to establish authorship) only on the title page.
* It is NOT allowed to indicate the author's surname (and any other data allowing to establish authorship) anywhere in the text of the work or in the file properties.
* The student is obliged to avoid any actions that may lead to the dissemination of information about his/her authorship.
* After all the papers are submitted, in accordance with the attached criteria for evaluating research papers (see Annex 2), they will be evaluated by experts, providing reviews to the Competition committee. Each work must have at least 2 (two) independent reviews.
* The winners of the Competition are determined by the Competition committee. The composition and number of members of the Competition committee is approved by the head of the Department of Philosophy and Methodology of Economics, Faculty of Economics, Lomonosov Moscow State University.
* The composition of the Competition committee includes PhD and Doctors of science who have experience in expert assessment and are specialists in the subject of the Competition.
* The winners of the competition are the students with the highest score.
* **The competition begins on September 8, 2020. The deadline for submission of works is 15.00 on October 15, 2020.**
* Research papers delivered later than the specified deadline are not considered.

**Part II. Regulations of the selection of student research papers**

**Rules of formalization:**

* Text format: Times New Roman font, 12 point size, one and a half spacing, margins on all sides by 1 cm.
* The format of headers is not regulated.
* The title page must indicate: title of the work, author's full name, year, and a note that the work is intended for the Competition of research papers of the Department of Philosophy and Methodology of Economics, Lomonosov Moscow State University (see Annex 1).
* The work must include through footnotes and page numbering (except for the title page).
* A table of contents should be posted at the beginning of the work.
* At the end of the work, a list of the literature used by the author should be posted. At first, there should be books, then journal articles, and at the end – Internet sources. Within one type of sources, the alphabetical order is observed.
* The work should not exceed 20 thousand characters with spaces (including title, table of contents, bibliography and annexes).

**Assessment criteria:**

* The design of the work is evaluated by the organizers of the Competition based on the evaluation criteria specified in this Regulation. Violation of the design rules set out in the Part II, entails a decrease in the overall assessment of the work up to 5% of the total assessment.
* The quality of work is assessed in accordance with the criteria set out in Annex 2.

**Penalties:**

**Anonymity:**

* A work in which the rules of anonymity described in the Part I are violated, *will be removed from the Competition*.

**Volume:**

* A work exceeding the allowable volume, *will be removed from the Competition*.

**Plagiarism:**

* Work containing more than 5% of plagiarism, namely non-author's text without quotes and links, *will be removed from the Competition*.

**Citation:**

* The mark for work that does not follow the citation rules, namely: there are quotation marks, but no link, or there is a link, but no quotation marks (the exception is indirect citation), *is reduced by 10% of the total mark*.

**Works selection results:**

Based on the selection results, a consolidated rating of works will be formed considering the total average score received by every work in accordance with the established evaluation criteria (see Annex 2) and taking into account the penalties applied by the organizers of the Competition.

**Part III. Summing up and awarding the winners**

* Based on the results of the Competition, the Competition committee of the Department of Philosophy and Methodology of Economics of the Faculty of Economics will draw up a list of winners.
* The decision of the Competition committee is formalized in a protocol, which is signed by the chairman and secretary of the Competition committee.
* The winners will be awarded on October 29, 2020. Time and form of the event will be announced later.

**Part IV. Complaints and suggestions**

* All complaints and suggestions regarding the Competition are accepted only as an email at: elilovaiskaya@yandex.ru
* The selection results are not subject to appeal

**Part V. List of annexes**

* Annex 1. Typical title page.
* Annex 2. Position and criteria for evaluating research work.

**Annex 1.**

**Lomonosov Moscow State University**

**Department of Philosophy and Methodology of Economics**

**Competition of research papers**

**Research paper**

 **«Paper title»**

**By**

**Student of group NNN**

**Ivanov Ivan**

**Moscow**

**2020**

**Annex 2.**

**POSITION AND CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION OF RESEARCH PAPERS**

**Research paper** is a form of work related to the solution of a research problem and including certain elements listed below.

**1. Object and subject.**

*Research object* is a certain process or phenomenon that gives rise to a problem situation.

*Research subject* is the specific part of the object to which the research activity is directed.

**2. Research topic and relevance of the study.**

*Research topic* is the perspective from which the problem is viewed. It should be of interest not only to the Author, but also to society, and be relevant.

To *substantiate the relevance* means to explain the need to study this topic in the context of the general process of scientific knowledge. Relevance may consist in the need to obtain new data and test new methods, etc.

**3. Definition of a hypothesis.**

Having clarified the topic as a result of studying special literature, the researcher can begin to develop a hypothesis that must satisfy several requirements:

* be verifiable;
* contain an assumption;
* be logically consistent;
* correspond to the facts.

**4. The purpose and objectives of the research.**

*The purpose of the study* is the result that researcher would like to achieve when completing his/her work. You can set a goal as follows:

• identify ...;

• install ...;

• justify ...;

• clarify...;

• develop ....

*Objective of the research* is the choice of ways and means to achieve the goal in accordance with the hypothesis. Objectives are best formulated as statements of what needs to be done to achieve the goal. The setting of objectives is based on splitting the research goal into subgoals.

**Approximate research plan:**

1. Introduction:

a. Relevance and novelty

b. Purpose

c. Objectives

d. Hypothesis

e. Object

f. Subject

2. Working with raw data (scientific literature, statistics, etc.)

3. Chapters (proof of a hypothesis, change of hypothesis, proof of a new hypothesis). Proof paths: building mathematical models, mental models, conducting experiments, field research (including conducting surveys), analyzing statistical data, etc.

4. Result – hypothesis or new hypothesis is proved, or it is found that new conditions are needed for the proof, then the result is a new hypothesis.

5. Conclusion: practical application and prospects.

6. List of references.

7. Annexes (usually it is here that the most cumbersome initial data and calculations are placed, being limited in the main part only by reference to them).

**Assessment criteria**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Criterion | Approximate weights(the significance of this criterion in the overall assessment) |
| **Formalization**(availability and quality of title page design, content, bibliography, page numbering) | 5% |
| **Rationale for the topic** (competent formulation and designation of the boundaries of the topic; an explanation of its relevance (including for the Author) and novelty; correspondence of the title of the work to the topic stated in the introduction) | 5% |
| **Work with sources**(adequate selection of sources necessary to disclose the topic; criticism and own assessment by the Author of the materials presented; proof of space for self-exploration) | 10% |
| **Logic and work with hypothesis**(correspondence of the hypothesis to the topic stated in the introduction; compliance of the goals with the formulated hypothesis; compliance of tasks with goals and completeness of disclosure of goals through tasks; logical correctness of the author's analysis; the presence of a logical connection between the stages of the study; the validity of the hypothesis change (if any); competent verbal design of thoughts and transitions between them; quality of applied research methods) | 30% |
| **Research results**(implementation of the stated goals and objectives; compliance of the research results with the declared object and subject; proof of a hypothesis (original or new); practical application and research prospects) | 23% |
| **Structure of work**(the presence in a structurally distinguished introduction of structurally distinguished relevance and novelty, goals, objectives, hypothesis, object, subject; the presence of a structured conclusion; division into parts of work adequate to the collected volume of information) | 10% |
| **General literacy**(absence of spelling and punctuation errors, multiple typos, etc.) | 3% |
| **Stylistics**(absence of lexical errors, correspondence of the lexical design of the work to the scientific and journalistic style) | 7% |
| **General impression**(availability of relevant illustrative material: pictures, graphs, tables, epigraphs, quotes, etc. - if it seems useful for the disclosure of the topic, as well as other techniques) | 7% |